

App. No. 09/729,434  
Amendment dated February 20, 2007  
Reply to Office action of December 20, 2006

## REMARKS

### ***Summary of Amendments***

A substitute specification, excepting the claims, has been submitted to redress the "grammatical and idiomatic errors and inconsistencies" in the original specification, as pointed out by the Office.

### ***Objection to Specification***

Noting that the Office stated, in making and repeating the objection to the specification in its first two actions on the merits, "The disclosure is replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors and inconsistencies," Applicant's undersigned representative respectfully submits that the present amendment by substitute specification is the most expeditious way to address this outstanding objection.

In compliance with § 1.125(b) Applicant's undersigned representative hereby states for the record that the present substitute specification contains no new matter.

Applicant gratefully acknowledges that claims 1, 2 and 4-10 have been allowed, and that the application is in condition for allowance pending correction of the formal matters noted in the Office Action. It is believed that the formal matters have been hereby corrected. Accordingly, Applicant courteously urges that this application is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

February 20, 2007

/James Judge/

James W. Judge  
Registration No. 42,701

**JUDGE & MURAKAMI IP ASSOCIATES**  
Dojima Building, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
6-8 Nishitemma 2-Chome, Kita-ku  
Osaka-shi 530-0047  
JAPAN

Telephone: **305-938-7119**  
Voicemail/Fax: **703-997-4565**